By late 1977 the Pol Pot regime had decided to begin seeking broader international support for their 'Democratic Kampuchea.' In November 1977 Burma's Ne Win became the first head of state to visit Phnom Penh since the Khmer Rouge takeover in April 1975. Nicolae Ceausescu of Romania followed soon after. Beginning in early 1978, small groups of Communist-sympathizing westerners were invited and/or allowed to visit Cambodia, most often arriving on the then weekly flight from Beijing to Phnom Penh. (Pol Pot History of a Nightmare, by Phillip Short, page 381.) In August of that year a leftist Swedish group including the now repentant Gunnar Bergstrom toured the country and even dined with Pol Pot. Bergstrom made news again in 2008 when he returned to Cambodia after 30 years, this time to offer apologies for supporting the regime and ask forgiveness of the survivors. (For more on Bergstrom see Taiwan News and BBC)

In April 1978, months before Bergstrom arrived in Cambodia, a group of four Americans from the American Communist Party M-L visited the country, declaring themselves the "first Americans to visit Cambodia since April 1975." The group included Robert Brown, David Klein and the editor of the communist The Call magazine Daniel Burstein. (Ironically, Burstein is now a New York venture capitalist and writer, at least according to the Communpedia and from what I have been able to infer from some Googling.)

This group of Americans met with Iang Sary and toured the country for eight
days* in what was no doubt a carefully orchestrated outing, including Phnom Penh city and Siem Reap, Kampong Thom, Kampong Cham and Takeo provinces. Afterward, they departed with a very favorable impression of Democratic Kampuchea and the 'accomplishments' of the Khmer Rouge regime. Burstein was so impressed that he wrote an op-ed piece for the New York Times entitled "On Cambodia: But, Yet," published November 21, 1978 (link,) declaring the stories of Khmer Rouge horrors and genocide that were littering the US press at the time to be slanderous lies. Burstein wrote,

"Everyone knows about the war waged by the United States in Cambodia from 1970 to 1975. But very few people know about or understand the war that it is waging today against that country, which now calls itself Democratic Kampuchea. The war is being fought on many fronts. But it is mainly a propaganda war, a consciously organized, well-financed campaign to spread lies and misinformation about Kampuchea since the victory of its revolution in 1975."

I was the first American to visit Kampuchea since April 17, 1975. What I saw has little in common with the stories told by so many journalists and other 'authorities' who have never been there...

The most slanderous of all charges leveled against Kampuchea is that of 'mass genocide,' with figures often cited running into the millions of people. I believe this is a lie, which certain opinion-makers in this country believe can be turned into a 'fact' by repeating it often enough."

Though, in the spirit of a proper apologist he did concede that there may have been "excesses,"

This does not mean there has been no violence or bloodshed since the revolution. The new Government has had to deal with many forces that oppose the revolution -- former Lon Nol officials, as well as organized networks of American, Russian and Vietnamese agents trying to overthrow the Government. Such sabotage has undoubtedly been met with violent suppression. In the course of this, there may even have been some excesses, which no revolution is immune to.

His tour companions were similarly impressed by their experience in Democratic Kampuchea. They produced a 115-page booklet entitled, 'The New Face of Kampuchea: a photo-record of the first American visit to Cambodia since the end of the war,' or 'Kampuchea: A photo-record of the first American visit to Cambodia since April 1975,' written by Klein, with photographs by Robert Brown and published by the now defunct Liberator Press (Chicago) in late 1978. (See The Eyes of the Pineapple for more on Liberator Press.) They arrived at a similar conclusion to Burstein's, i.e. that the Khmer Rouge were liberators, Cambodia was moving in the right direction, the Cambodian people enthusiastic participants in the new order and that the tales of Khmer Rouge atrocities were just so much capitalist propaganda.

The very month that this group of Americans were in Phnom Penh, April 1978, the purges of the Eastern Zone and the torture operation at the infamous S-21 prison facility in Phnom Penh (now the Toul Sleng Genocide Museum) were reaching a crescendo. The unfortunates brought to S-21, not far from where the Americans were touring city, were tortured into bizarre and unlikely 'confessions' reflecting the paranoidas of Khmer Rouge leadership, sometimes 'admitting' to being counter-revolutionary spies working simultaneously for the Americans, the Russians and the Vietnamese. In his landmark work on the prison, 'Voices from S-21' (page 73,) David Chandler writes, "The Party Center then embarked on a wholesale purge of cadres in the Eastern Zone. In April 1978, so many were brought into S-21 that some of the trucks bearing prisoners had to be turned away...presumably to be taken off to be killed without any
interrogation," stocking the killing fields of Choeung Ek just outside of town. In a chillingly deaf echo of this horrible reality, Klein apologetically notes in Kampuchea (page 10) that Khmer Rouge government leaders acknowledged "violence" was still being employed to root out a secret apparatus of CIA, KGB and Vietnamese infiltrators in Cambodia, but that it was to "(make) sure that the chains which had previously held the people in bondage would never be forged again."

The following are scans of a photocopy of the Forward, Introduction and first two chapters of 'Kampuchea: A photo-record of the first American visit to Cambodia since April 1975,' which contain Klein's observations and impressions of the state of the country and people as well as several interesting photographs by Brown of an almost empty Phnom Penh.

One might say that these men were naive, duped, perhaps blinded by their ideology, but I find it difficult to understand how they could see child soldiers carrying AKs as big as themselves, masses of black clad people toiling in the mud like ants and a capital city devoid of occupants three years after the takeover and not catch a hint that something might be amiss.
Foreword

As this book goes to press, Kampuchea is confronted with a full-scale invasion of her territory by more than 100,000 Soviet-armed soldiers from Vietnam. Their aim is to topple the government of Democratic Kampuchea and install a pro-Soviet, pro-Vietnamese regime in the country.

I witnessed many signs of Soviet and Vietnamese aggression against Kampuchea during my own visit to that country in April 1978. But what I saw was nothing compared to the present offensive.

In light of this new and serious invasion, the publication of this book takes on added significance. Hopefully, the reader will gain a better understanding of the workings of Kampuchean society and see why this small country in Southeast Asia has incurred the hatred not only of the U.S. government, but also of the Soviet colossus that is trying to march across Asia.

David Kiene
January 1979

Introduction

On April 12, 1978, we stepped onto the tarmac at Phnom Penh's Pochentong Airport and became the first Americans to visit Cambodia in more than three years. There were four of us, all journalists with The Call newspaper, published in Chicago.

No other Americans had set foot in Democratic Kampuchea (Cambodia's official name) since the Revolutionary Army marched into Phnom Penh on April 17, 1975. No other Western journalists had seen the great changes that had taken place since those turbulent days when the U.S. ambassador fled for his life with an American flag clutched under his arm.

Our arrival came at a highly charged moment, politically. Back in the U.S., the press was filled with screaming headlines about "massacres" and "genocide" in Cambodia. President Carter had just called Kampuchea the "number one violator of human rights" in the world.

So we had a great opportunity to investigate previously uncharted ground. What's really happening in Cambodia? What was the internal situation like three years after Kampuchea transformed itself into a socialist society? This was the question we hoped to answer during our stay in that Southeast Asian country.

In all, we spent eight days there, traveling 700 miles across six provinces. We investigated construction...
projects, visited rice fields and rural cooperatives, witnessed an electronics school in session, traveled to the world-famous temple of Angkor Wat, and even inspected the border areas where recent fighting with Vietnam had taken place.

We interviewed leaders of the Communist Party and government, including Ieng Sary, Deputy Prime Minister in charge of foreign affairs. And we interviewed many dozens of average citizens, communists and non-communists alike.

We held random discussions with the old and the young, with men and women, in an effort to see how they perceived the Kampuchean revolution. In several cases, we made tape recordings of these interviews and verified the accuracy of the translations made by our government guides once we returned to the States.

To sum it all up, what we saw was a country totally unlike the negative image projected in countless U.S. newspaper articles and television programs. But before going on, a few words about that negative image are in order.

Without a doubt, Kampuchea is today the most maligned nation on the face of the earth. "Genocide," "forced labor," "starvation," "mass executions"—these are just a few of the favorite code words used by the Western press to describe life in Kampuchea today.

These charges (always made by people who have never seen the new society) are reminiscent of the wild slanders which greeted China's liberation nearly 30 years ago. But Kampuchea's leaders are even being accused of actions against their own people which have never before been seen in human history!

A case in point: the CBS Television Network News recently reported as fact that a "mating season" has been instituted in Kampuchea. Supposedly, anyone caught "flirting" outside this "mating season" is being executed.

What is CBS's documentation for such an incredible charge? Why, "some refugees told an Illinois Congressman," CBS says, and then this Congressman told CBS!

If a reporter tried to get away with that kind of "documentation" on any other subject, he would be laughed out of the newsroom, and rightly so. But somehow, when it comes to Cambodian horror stories, the requirements of journalistic proof take a back seat to the needs of the anti-Kampuchea propaganda war.

Part of the reason for these rabid attacks on Kampuchea lies in the hostility with which millionaire media magnates—not to mention capitalist governments—generally view socialist revolutions. That is to be expected in any case. But an additional factor here is the desire of the U.S. government to cover up its own role in Kampuchea's recent history.

The carnage that was visited on Cambodia in the years before 1975 by the U.S. is now history. The 1970 CIA-directed coup d'état against Prince Norodom Sihanouk which installed Lon Nol in power; the May 1970 invasion by U.S. ground troops that followed; the secret and massive B-52 saturation bombings dating from 1969—all this is conveniently ignored in the slander aimed at Kampuchea today.

Until April 17, 1975, Kampuchea was under the domination of foreign imperialists, especially the U.S.
U.S. advisers controlled Lon Nol’s military machine and personally directed the war against the national liberation forces, often referred to in the U.S. as “Khmer Rouge,” who were centered in the countryside. Transnational U.S. monopolies like IBM and Esso (Standard Oil) held the jugular vein of the Cambodian economy in their hands.

What about the “independent republic” of Lon Nol? Even many in official U.S. positions have admitted that the Lon Nol regime was merely a creature of the CIA that lacked support among the Kampuchean people. In truth, his regime functioned only to camouflage the fact that all the institutions of society were under the direct command of U.S. officials or their bribed retainers.

Before 1975, the only thing that prevented Lon Nol’s overthrow was a powerful military and police apparatus that waged systematic terror against the population. This apparatus was directed from within the air-conditioned offices of the U.S. Embassy on Phnom Penh’s Rue Notre Dame.

Inside Phnom Penh, the mansions of the idle rich lay along broad, tree-lined avenues spattered with beautiful teak trees and expansive gardens. Life was indeed a paradise for the upper crust of Kampuchean society and for the U.S. officials who ran things.

But that upper crust was paper thin, eaten away with corruption and hopelessly decadent. Here’s a report from the Baltimore Sun’s issue of April 17, 1975, the very day of liberation:

“For the few privileged elite, the good life of tennis, nightclubs, expensive French meals and opulent brandy-drenched dinner parties went on almost to the very

end, while the vast majority of the city’s swollen population sank deeper into misery.”

As the reporter who wrote that piece indicated, life for most people was something other than a paradise. The capital in the final years and months became choked with 2,500,000 starving refugees made homeless by the U.S. saturation bombings in the countryside. Each morning, “body wagons” picked up the corpses of hundreds of people who had died during the night of starvation, disease or at the hands of Lon Nol’s secret police.

That was in Phnom Penh. In the countryside, B-52 bombers piloted by U.S. personnel dropped an estimated 550,000 tons of bombs on Kampuchea in those years, reducing 80% of all the nation’s villages to heaps of smoking rubble. Some areas of the countryside took on the appearance of a lunar landscape as a result of the bombings.

By official Kampuchean estimates, 800,000 people were killed; another 200,000 maimed for life. That represents about 12% of the total Kampuchean population, which is a proportional equivalent to 30 million American casualties.

Despite such unparalleled warfare, the U.S. could not destroy the resistance movement. And the Kampuchean guerrillas and their millions of active supporters fought back—sticks against guns, rifles against artillery, and grenades against B-52s. In what was one of the great achievements of human history, this small, poorly armed nation rose up and defeated one of the strongest military powers in the world.

Aside from covering up past crimes, U.S. official-
dom is aiming its propaganda war at the destabilization of the new government. This campaign of slander is combined with CIA-backed coup attempts, border incidents and sabotage.

Additionally, Sen. George McGovern's statement of August 1978, calling for an "international force" to invade Kampuchea is an indicator of the seriousness of U.S. intentions.

The U.S., however, is not the only superpower trying to overturn the Cambodian revolution. The Soviet Union, continuing a quarter century of hostility toward the Kampuchean communists, is even more directly a danger to that country.

In this case, the USSR is the behind-the-scenes instigator of Vietnam's aggression against Kampuchea. Soviet military and logistic support has been an important factor in each of the Vietnamese invasions of Kampuchean territory since December 1977.

With all of this as a backdrop, what exactly is taking place in Kampuchea today?

From all that we saw during our visit, the situation inside Kampuchea is very good. The economy is developing rapidly although still hampered by centuries of underdevelopment. The new government is strong and enjoys broad popular support. And the people are enthusiastically taking part in the revolution with the aim of creating a strong, independent country, free from exploitation and oppression.

We visited the Ang Taem Cooperative in Takeo Province. There we had a chance to see the Kampuchean revolution played out in detail in the day-to-day life of the masses of people.

"Last year we not only grew enough rice to feed ourselves," a leading member of the cooperative told us, "we also gave the state 10,000 thangs (254 tons) of surplus rice. Now everyone has enough to eat and more."

The significance of that statement cannot be emphasized enough. For 2,000 years, Kampuchean peasants have been starving during the dry season. They have historically been at the mercy of both the weather and the landlords, who usually look as "rent" half of what the peasants managed to grow.

Now, however, Kampuchea is self-sufficient in rice. The key factor in this achievement is the destruction of the old feudal and capitalist order. There simply are no more parasitical landlords, and everyone works.

But there's more to it than that. The priority in economic development was and continues to be focused on developing an extensive system of water conservation projects, so that two and three rice crops, instead of just one, can be grown each year through irrigation.

"With water we have rice. With rice we have everything," is the way a popular slogan runs. And literally everywhere we went, we saw people building irrigation canals and dams, often with no tools other than their bare hands.

At Ang Taem, not everyone among the 1,200 cooperative members works in the rice fields. Some also labor in the wood or metal workshops, where simple agricultural and hand tools are fashioned for the cooperative's own use. With little modern machinery available anywhere in the country due to the years of
enforced backwardness, people in each cooperative generally make do with what they can produce themselves.

While inspecting the cooperative’s metal workshop, we came across a truly unforgettable sight. In a corner of the work area lay an unexploded 500-pound bomb that had been dropped by a B-52 during the war. Cooperative workers, we discovered, fashion hoes and other metal tools from the melted-down casings of these bombs.

“They dropped so many bombs on us,” one of the workers told us, “we’re still digging some up that didn’t explode.”

For us, this was graphic evidence of the tremendous strength and ingenuity of the Kampuchean people. Here they were, the intended victims of U.S. aggression, turning the U.S. government’s destructive weaponry to their own advantage.

We asked about the elimination of money. How, for instance, are goods and services distributed?

“The state trucks come here regularly with whatever supplies are available,” our host answered, “and we get clothing, sewing machines, cigarettes and other goods not made here at Ang Tasom. In exchange, we load up our surplus rice onto the state trucks for workers in the cities or for needle cooperatives.

“Basically,” our host continued, “the people use what they need and give what they can to the cooperative and the state. Our revolution has no model and we are solving the problems we face one by one.”

The public health and hygiene of the people has also showed strong improvement in the short span of three years. Malaria, we were told, has been reduced by 90% through a mass campaign aimed at clearing up stagnant pools and breeding grounds for mosquitoes. We saw no one who appeared sick either at Ang Tasom or any other place we visited.

This improvement in public health has had a sharp effect at the cooperative level. “In March of this year, we had 36 babies born at Ang Tasom,” we were told, “and all of them were healthy.”

We asked about family life at the cooperative.

“We don’t have arranged marriages anymore,” one young man told us. “People marry whom they choose.” We also found out that family planning is not encouraged because of the country’s small population.

Each family has their own home, built on stilts because of the inevitable flooding that occurs during the monsoon season. The houses we saw at Ang Tasom were mostly new, sturdy and attractive, built since liberation in 1975.

“What about the status of women,” we asked?

The cooperative leader answered: “Men and women are equal here. They work side by side in the fields, just as they fought side by side during the liberation war. So men have a lot of respect for women.”

These were some of the impressions of our visit to Ang Tasom. But perhaps the most important thing we learned everywhere we went is that most people seem to support the government wholeheartedly.

And why not? From what we could tell, people feel the government not only represents them, but actually belongs to them. A common statement we heard from
people was that their destiny was now in their own hands.

An old peasant put it to us this way:

"The old society was like the darkness... Now, we are working for ourselves, not any masters."

"The revolution has solved the problem of equality for our people," was how another man, a former guerrilla fighter, put it. "We have no more exploitation."

We specifically asked about Western charges of "massacres" after victory in the war. Everyone we talked to denied the existence of widespread killings, and we saw no evidence of "mass executions" ourselves.

If people had been killed in the numbers suggested by the press, surely there would have been some signs, either as physical evidence or in diminished popular support for the government. This was simply not the case.

The people we talked to did not imply, however, that their revolution was accomplished or defended without some bloodshed, especially against counter-revolutionary elements, war criminals and the like.

Party and government leaders, as well as average citizens, stated that violence was needed to break up the entrenched secret apparatus left behind by the U.S.—as well as the Russian KGB—to sabotage the new society and overthrow the government in its infancy. But that violence, we were told, was fundamentally different in nature from that of the U.S. government or its Lon Nol forces. Whatever violence was used after April 17, 1975, was directed towards making sure the broken chains which had previously held the people in bondage would never be forged again.

We realized (and our guides were quick to point out) that Kampuchea is faced with monumental problems in its revolution. For one thing, its economic development must proceed with a practically non-existent industrial base and an agricultural system that is only now reaching stabilization. Kampuchea is still a poor country, even by third world standards, and will remain so for some time.

In our talks with Deputy Prime Minister Ieng Sary, he pointed out that Kampuchea's fundamental orientation in solving its problems is to adhere to the principle of self-reliance. Having made such tremendous sacrifices for their independence and freedom, Kampuchea is not about to lose or barter it away.

Ieng Sary outlined the three fundamental tasks of the nation at this time:

- To defend Kampuchea from any and all attacks and preserve the worker-peasant state power;
- To continue to carry out the socialist revolution by rooting out the remnants of the old exploitative social relations;
- To construct socialism by consolidating an agricultural and industrial base for the rapid development of a modern socialist economy.

One other point should be mentioned about our experiences in Kampuchea. Despite the suffering which the people underwent at the hands of the U.S. government, people were extremely warm toward us, although somewhat shy at first.
One incident particularly moved us. We were talking with some peasants by the side of the road one day. While exchanging ideas, one man said that he regarded the U.S. imperialists, not Americans, as his enemy. "We know about the students who died at Kent and Jackson universities in America," the peasant explained. "They died for helping our struggle. You must thank the American people for us and tell them we will never forget their aid."

Incredible? We found Cambodians eager to make friends with the American people, whom they regard as victims of the U.S. policy makers' war on Cambodia, just like themselves.

Although our delegation was the first to visit Kampuchea, we are confident that more Americans will soon be able to go and investigate this new society for themselves. This can only have the effect of giving the American people a more realistic picture of life in the new Cambodia.

In the pages that follow, you will catch a glimpse of a nation reborn, a nation that has "regained its soul," to quote the Kampuchean themselves. We invite you to look through our camera's eye and see the new face of Kampuchea.

David Kline
November 1978

Impressions
The scene at left struck us for the contrast—a newly built home in a cooperative surrounded by images of centuries-old peasant life.

With most people we met, the curiosity was mutual. These young women, though shy, were eager to meet the first Americans they knew who had come as friends.

Having heard over the radio that four American friends were touring the countryside, people often gathered around us when we stopped to talk. First ten, then fifty and sometimes hundreds of people would surround us—with the kids, of course, pushing their way up to the front.
Kampuchea's economy is still poor, the result of centuries of underdevelopment. While looking to the time when their agriculture can be mechanized, people today must still rely in most cases on draft animals and hard work to get good rice yields.
Determination and enthusiasm—these qualities account for the rapid progress people are making in building up the country.

Workers at right are constructing an earthen dam about 20 miles up the Mekong River from Phnom Penh. It wasn't unusual to see people competing with each other to see who could get the job done first.
Ieng Sary, Kampuchea’s deputy prime minister in charge of foreign affairs, talks with Call editor Dan Burstein on April 28, 1978.

Ieng Sary was friendly and down to earth in his manner, and the discussions we had with him helped clear up many of our questions about Kampuchean history and the current state of affairs.
To be in Kampuchea today is to be confronted at every turn with the wounds of war.

This used to be the city of Skoun, population about 20,000. Then one day, the U.S. bombers came. We only needed to take these two photographs to capture all that remained after the bombs stopped falling.

Whether it was ruined cities and villages, incinerated forests, or mile after mile of swimming pool-sized bomb craters along the road, the U.S. government has left behind innumerable signs of its aggression.
These scenes brought back many memories. Like millions of other Americans, we used to watch the six o'clock news each night and see the U.S. efforts at airlifting supplies to Lon Nol's crumbling armies. These U.S. transports are now part of Kampuchea's small air force.

At right is the imposing U.S. Embassy in Phnom Penh. On April 12, 1975, U.S. Ambassador John Gunther Dean sped out of these iron gates under armed escort and made good his escape.

One other note: U.S. officials no longer have to worry about Cambodians illegally parking in front of the embassy.
We visited Lon Nol's War Room in Phnom Penh, preserved exactly as it was found when the Revolutionary Army entered the city on April 17, 1975. Detailed maps showed the position of every guerrilla unit, yet the U.S. and Lon Nol were unable to prevent their own defeat.

To bolster sagging morale in those final days, the generals posted this sign in the War Room (in French): "If you're tired, think of those at the front who are even more tired."
Signs of the former U.S. presence in Kampuchea include the IBM building in a posh downtown neighborhood (at right) and one of many Caltex gas stations.
Many of Phnom Penh’s streets, especially in the downtown section, are deserted.

But contrary to the claims of the Western press, the evacuation of the capital was carried out, in the main, through persuasion and for the following reasons:

- When Lon Nol’s regime collapsed, there was no loot in the city and no way to transport it from the rural cooperatives.
- The U.S., USSR and other governments left behind extensive spy networks to sabotage and hopefully overthrow the new government.
- A mass collective effort was needed to rebuild the agricultural system in the countryside before cities could become viable.

The evacuation of Phnom Penh frustrated the hopes of those who expected the new government to either be starved out or overthrown. Today, 200,000 people live and work in the capital, and more are returning each month.
At right sit the remains of the National Bank of Cambodia. The fact that it was blown up by saboteurs several days after liberation is evidence of the activities of foreign agents.

Work crews (above) have cleaned up most of the filth and rubble of the old city. But still, three years after liberation, some work remains to be done.
The U.S. government continued its war against Kampuchea even after April 17, 1975.
We took these shots in Siem Reap, which was attacked by U.S. F-111 jets flying from bases in Thailand on February 25, 1976—more than 10 months after the war had supposedly ended.
We examined this bomb crater (above) as well as the remains of a school (at right). Twelve children were killed in this school during the U.S. attack.
Since its liberation, Kampuchea has faced attacks from other quarters as well. Vietnam, with support from the Soviet Union, has invaded Kampuchean territory on several occasions and been repulsed each time.

These weapons were captured from the Vietnamese invaders during the December 1977 fighting. Notice the Russian inscription on the rifle scope.

All who supported the struggle of Cambodia and Vietnam against U.S. domination must view this conflict between them as tragic. But from our own investigation, which included visits to battlefields deep inside Kampuchean territory, we had to conclude that Vietnam is the aggressor.

These men and women fighters in the Revolutionary Army described a battle fought at this site, 20 miles from the border with Vietnam.
"It was quite a sight to see this young boy carrying an AK-47 rifle not much smaller than himself. We felt this symbolized the resolve of the people of Kampuchea, young and old alike, to defend their country’s independence."
Kampuchea

Kampuchea has once again become a battleground, this time as a result of a Vietnamese invasion backed by the Soviet Union.

Before the Vietnamese arrived on the scene, Kampuchea (formerly Cambodia) had become one of the most vilified countries in the world, as the Pol Pot government was charged with mass murder and gross violations of human rights.

This is the country photojournalists Brown and Kline visited in April 1978, just months before the Vietnamese attacked. They were members of a four-person team of journalists, the first Americans to visit Cambodia since the U.S. defeat there in 1975.

What these journalists discovered was a Cambodia quite different from the horrifying image conveyed in most Western press accounts. They found a people who genuinely supported their government, and a country that was embarking on one of the greatest reconstruction and socialist development efforts of recent times.

With more than 100 exclusive photographs, Kampuchea is the only photo book to chronicle Kampuchean society before the Vietnamese invasion. As the situation in Southeast Asia changes daily, Kampuchea provides a unique look at a country which is fighting for its very existence.
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